IJBPAS, September, 2022, 11(9): 4134-4146

ISSN: 2277–4998

International Journal of Biology, Pharmacy and Allied Sciences (IJBPAS)

'A Bridge Between Laboratory and Reader'

www.ijbpas.com

NANOCARRIER MEDIATED CYTOSOLIC DELIVERY OF BIOPHARMACEUTICALS

KAMALA KUMARI PV, LIPITHA S, SRINIVASA RAO Y

Vignan Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, Duvvada, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh

***Corresponding Author: Dr. P.V.Kamala Kumari: E Mail: kamalaparavastu@gmail.com**

Received 16th Oct. 2021; Revised 20th Nov. 2021; Accepted 20th Jan. 2022; Available online 1st Sept. 2022

https://doi.org/10.31032/IJBPAS/2022/11.9.6358

ABSTRACT

Throughout the past twenty years, the clinical use of biopharmaceutical product has markedly increased their obvious benefits over typical small-molecule drug products. These benefits embrace higher specificity, potency, targeting, and reduced adverse effects. Despite the substantial clinical and business success, the molecule structure and intrinsic instability of bio pharmaceuticals build their formulation and administration difficult and render parenteral delivery because the solely viable possibility in most cases. The utilization of nano carriers for economical delivery of biopharmaceuticals is important, to their sensible edges like protective from degradation during a hostile physiological setting, enhancing plasma half-life and retention time, facilitating absorption through the epithelial tissue, providing site-specific delivery. Within the current review, the use of nano carriers for intracellular delivery of biopharmaceuticals with endosomal escape and, in particular, the pathways of direct cytosolic delivery are approximately, means to insert a path between the caveolae, the release of the contact, in the middle membrane transfer, membrane interaction, direct transmission, and membrane disruption were discussed. Highly effective translation techniques were highlighted.

Keywords: Biopharmaceuticals, Cytosolic delivery, Endosomal escape, Intracellular delivery, Nanocarriers

INTRODUCTION

Biopharmaceuticals are the drugs derived from biological sources like humans, animals, microorganisms. These drugs are very difficult to prepare because of large complex structures. These are different from chemically synthesized drugs. They gift high promise for the development of novel drugs because of their high specificity and potency compared to the normal molecular medicine. This could be attributed to their natural mechanisms of action that arise from their molecule structures **[1]**. Formulation and delivery of biopharmaceutical drugs across completely different levels of biological barriers (for example, skin, membrane membranes, and cell membranes) to targeted sites has been a major challenge due to their giant size and poor stability. For instance, monoclonal antibodies and recombinant proteins are quite one hundred times larger than most small-molecule medicine. The massive size and molecular complexity generally result in low stability of most biopharmaceuticals,
which considerably hampers their potential for development **[2]**.

Drug
delivery exploitation nanocarriers may be a promising strategy to improve therapeutic effectively. So far, over sixty five nanomedicines in the kind of liposome, nanocrystals inorganic nanoparticles, chemical compound nanoparticles, nano-capsules, and protein nanoparticles were approved for clinical use [3].

Numerous approaches are accustomed engineer biopharmaceutical into micro- or nanoscale structures to enhance their therapeutic efficiency, as well as physical fabrication, molecular valance modification, encapsulation, by perishable hydrogels supported natural and artificial polymers,
and nanostructuring processes. Integration of these nanoscale systems with biopharmaceuticals offers glorious promise for future drug delivery systems **[4]**. To synthesize nanoscale systems of biopharmaceuticals, purposeful macromolecules can either be loaded in nanocarriers or used as building blocks to self-assemble into nanocarriers. These important blessings primarily arise from the modification of chemistry properties increased circulation, reduced off-target effects, increased cellular
internalization, and better targeting as shown in **Table 1**. Especially numerous biological medicine act by associating with living thing targets, so requiring living thing delivery. Most ancient nanocarriers area unit internalized by cells via endocytosis and accomplish living thing

delivery of the biopharmaceuticals via endosomal escape. Some efforts are dedicated to engineering the endosomal escape delivery pathway to unleash the treed macromolecules, however these unremarkably used methods are usually restricted by low cytosolic unleash rate (<2%) and loss of biological activity of the delivered molecule cargo's. In distinction, delivery methods that may bypass the endo lysosomes have emerged as a valuable avenue for cytosolic delivery of bio pharmaceuticals **[5]**.

Table 1: A comparison between small molecule drugs and biologics

Properties	Small molecular drugs	Biopharmaceuticals
Size	Small, low molecular weight	Large, high molecular weight
Structure	Simple and well defined	Complex but can be defined
Manufacturing method	Manufactured by chemical synthesis	Manufactured by living cell culture
Development process and stability	Simple and low cost and stable	Complex and high cost and unstable, sensitive to external conditions.
Immunogenicity	Mostly non-immunogenic compounds	Potentially immunogenic
Route of administration	Oral route possible	Parenteral and intramuscular route
Dosing frequency	Daily	Intermittent
Half life	Short	Long
Potency and selectivity	Less selectivity	High selectivity and high affinity
Drug target	Receptors, enzymes, DNA	Receptors and antigens
Toxicity	On and off target related toxicity	Typically exaggerated pharmacology
Formulation	Complex	Simple
Degradation	Metabolism	Catabolism

Cellular Uptake Mechanism for Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles (NPs) will enter cells by endocytosis, a process in which the cellular membrane engulfs the NPs and splits off to make a self-contained cyst inside the cell **[6]**. Endocytosis mechanisms embrace activity, macro pinocytosis, clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis, and clathrin- and caveolae-independent routes. Activity predominantly happens in immune cells like nerve fiber cells, T cells, B cells, neutrophils, and macrophages as suggests that of clearing foreign materials. Three steps are involved in the process phagocytosis of NPs

(a) Process of the NPs via adsorption of proteins within the blood as well as immunoglobulins, complement parts, serum proteins et al.,

(b) Attachment of the opsonized NPs onto the cytomembrane via surface receptors

(c) Internalisation of the NPs by the cells.

Cytosolic Delivery via Endosomal Escape

Nanoparticles with raised endosomal escape skills are optimal for delivery. Common nanoparticles platforms for the endosomal uptake and delivery of biologics include liposomes and lipid-based NPs, polymer micelles and polymer NPs, lipid-polymer hybrid NPs, nanocrystals, and inorganic NPs like magnetic NPs, carbon nanotubes, graphene, quantum dots, and chemical element NPs.The mechanism of endosomal escape involves destabilization of the endosome membrane by raised interaction between the endosomal membrane And an endosomolytic agent like pH-sensitive membrane-lytic compounds or polymers. Current leading ways of endosomal escape are

i) Endosomal lysis by an endosomolytic attention
ii) Pore formation in the endosomal membrane
iii) Nucleon sponge result mediated by a high pH-buffering agent that swells as protonated
iv) Membrane fusion by troubling the endosomal membrane with a fusogenic agent
v) Chemistry disruption of the endosomal membrane by victimization photo sensitizers **[7]**.

Endosomolytic Lysis

Endosomes square measure acidic in nature and possess substantial negative charge on the inner membrane because of an outsized quantity of cholesterol and bis (monoacylglycero) phosphate and absence of charged lipids. Generally, cationic and extremely hydrophobic materials are activated by exposure of paraffin groups or by protonation of alternative teams like carboxylates and glutamate within the acidic endosomes. Resultant interaction with the charged inner membrane causes disruption of the membrane and also the unharness of active compounds into the cell cytoplasm. A cationic and membrane lytic amide M-lycotoxin (L17E) derived from spider venom was developed by introducing one or 2 aminoalkanoic acid residues into the hydrophobic face. By advantageous disruption of charged membranes, L17E markedly improved endosomal escape of biologics, including a ribosome-inactivation super molecule (saporin), Cre recombinase, IgG, and acetylated histones. Despite high rates of endosomal escape, the utilization of endosomalytic agents is hindered by their toxicity. By structural alteration like appending a triglutamate unit to the N-terminus or subbing all charged amino alkanoic acid residues with basic residues, the toxicity of the biological was significantly reduced. A chemical compound of the cell-penetrating amide transcription-activating supermolecule (TAT), chemical compound of the cell-

penetrating amide TAT (dfTAT), that is per se additional endosomolytic over its monomeric counterpart. By mediating endosomal outpouring, dfTAT has remarkably higher potency at delivering proteins or peptides into the cytoplasm of cells whereas having very little influence on cell viability, proliferation, or organic phenomenon compared with its monomeric counterpart. To avoid toxicity, an anionic polymer composed of essential amino acid derivatized poly (l-lysine iso-phthalamide) with endosomolytic activity was designed. Due to its electric charge, the chemical compound was less doubtless to be inactivated by binding to charged humor proteins and may not cause supermolecule aggregation in vivo usually observed with cationic agents **[7]** furthermore, nanoparticles will also, be used as endosomolytic agents **[8]**. Polymersomes consisting of associate degree liquid core and membrane comprising amphiphilic diblock polymer chains with pH-responsive and the membrane-destabilizing activities were developed to mediate the living thing unharness and endosomal escape of 2′,3′-cyclic nucleoside monophosphate–adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP) **[9]**. In response to endolysosomal activity, the polymersomes disassembled to show membrane-destabilizing polymer segments, promoting the endosomal escape of cGAMP and thereby markedly increasing its efficiency. In addition, incorporation of endosomolytic agents like antimalarial drug into cationic nanoparticles will improve the cytosolicrelease of nucleic acids [9].

Endosomal Pore Formation

Endosomal pore formation is decided by the balance between membrane tension that facilitates magnification of the pore and line tension that tends to shut the pore. By binding to the sting of the pore, pore-promoting agents like peptides decrease the road tension and promote the formation of resembles a natural process **[10]**. Once cationic lipids are entrapped within the endosomes, they induce Associate in Nursing inverted polygon phase transition by destabilizing the endosomal membrane, and promote formation of non bi-layer structure and local holes moreover, studies have shown that combined usage of cationic lipids with anionic lipids like dioleoylphosphatidyle-thanolamine or sterol promotes the formation of a non bilayer polygon part structure. Endosomal escape through transient pores for cytoplasm delivery is a usually reported approach for drug delivery. But, the escape effectivity of this methodology is extraordinarily low. By quantitative fluorescence imaging and microscopy. Learning of macromolecule nanoparticles (LNPs) loaded with traceable

siRNA in varied cell lines *in vitro* and assessed biodistribution *in vivo*. They found that LNPs entered Hela cells and hepatocytes during a cell-specific manner via the clathrin and macro pinocytosis pathways triggered by a Rabankyrin-5-relating process. when defence in endosomes, LNPs allowed for the formation of transient pores and unharness of gold-labeled siRNA with a diameter of eight nm into the cytosol; but, only 1–2% of siRNA was discharged from the endosomes and there was sizable siRNA absorption on the inner membranes of the endosomes **[11]**. In another report, the fraction of endosomal studied by mistreatment live-cell imaging. A extremely effective disassociation of the polyplex occurred when internalization; but, due to the restricted lifespan (1 h) of the transient pore within the endosomal membrane, the shake off the endosome was restricted, resulting in only 1 or 2 polyplexes per cell contributory to the cytosolic delivery of supermolecule. Despite the restrictions, endosomal escape through fusion pores has promising potential. Destabilizing the endosomal membrane by cationic lipoplex during a pH-dependent pattern renders the turnover of anionic lipids from the skin membrane of the endosome and is followed by diffusion into the lipoplex. This forms a charge-neutral particle combine with the cationic lipids and displaces the biologics from the lipoplex for cytoplasm unharness. By this mechanism, ionizable macromolecule nanoparticles (lipidoids) or lipid-like nanoparticles enabled intracellular delivery of numerous biologics, like RNAi, DNA, mRNA, protein, and an RNA-guided genome-editing system. By a parallel reaction between open-chain amines and epoxides or acrylamides and acrylates, an outsized library of over 1200 structurally numerous lipidoids was developed. More experiments in Hela cells demonstrated the potential of different effective materials **[12]**.

Photochemical Disruption

Endosomal escape by photochemical disruption, also known as photochemical internalization (PCI), may be a process during which reactive oxygen species (ROS) or heat released from visible light-irradiated photosensitizers (PS) damages the endosomal membrane and facilitates cytosol delivery. Photosensitizers are always hydrophobic and have a hoop structure. Of particular interest, a morphological transformation from nanospheres to hollow nanorods activated by light irradiation enabled the disruption of the endosomal membrane and facilitated the endosomal escape of payloads. Potential concerns about PCI

primarily include potential toxicity resulting from undesired distribution in other organelles aside from the endosomes and burst release of calcium and cathepsin B within the cytoplasm, uncontrollable release of biologics after vectors encapsulated PS and biologicals drugs escape to the cytoplasm, and short lifetimes of but 0.1 ms and short action range within 10–20 nm. Besides, the traditional PS like derivatives of porphyrin, chlorin or phthalocyanine, hypericin, methylene blue, and rose bengal, several fluorogens, e.g., aggregation induced emission (AIE) fluorogens, and BODIPY[13], are utilized as PCI agents also. Recently, a polymeric DNA delivery vector, which consisted of AIE fluorogens and oligoethylenimine (OEI), conjugated via an aminoacrylate (AA) linker that was cleavable by ROS. After entrapment in endosomes, light irradiation allowed for the discharge of ROS and was followed by the disruption of the endo/lysosomal membrane, causing improved vector escape also as decomposition of the nanoparticles to facilitate DNA release **[14]**.

Potential of Direct Cytosolic Delivery

Whereas numerous ways are developed to boost endosomal escape, direct cytosolic delivery bypasses endosomal defense and escape entirely by delivering the biological directly into the cell cytosol. Within the following section, we have a tendency to discuss direct cytosolic delivery ways additionally as their strengths and shortcomings, and completely different strategies that have been developed to deal with the challenges of cytosolic delivery.

Caveolae-Mediated Pathway

Caveolae-mediated learning is categorized as endocytosis; however, proof indicates that caveolar endocytosis permits for direct cellular entry of materials via caveosomes containing caveolin-1 (Cave-1) and at physiological pH, while not being detained by endosomes. Caveolar endocytosis is lipid-raft dependent and subject to endocytic inhibitors, filipin, genistein, nystatin, and methyl-β cyclodextrin (M-CD). 3 proteins, Cave-1, the simple protein cytoskeleton, and infectious disease poisonous substance fractional monetary unit B (CTB), are concerned in caveolar trafficking. Furthermore, the expression of caveolae is tissue- and cell line-related. The tenuity of caveolae is expressed in cells, together with hepatocytes, neurons, urinary organ proximal tube cells, and lots of usually used cancer cells. Caveolae show a sizeable density and represent expanse in adipocytes, epithelium cells, and muscle cells. Affinity ligands targeted to caveolae embody organism protein (TX3.833), gp60,

aminopeptidase P (APP), Annex in A1, and plasmalemmal vesicle-associated macromolecule (pvap). Nonetheless, it looks that the learning of NPs isn't closely related with the density of caveolae. The uptake of one hundred sixty nm-sized drug nanorods was investigated in 2 cell lines, H22/4T1 and Caco-2, with a low and high density of caveolae respectively. It absolutely was found that the nanorods were in a position to enter these cells via caveolar endocytosis and their uptake showed an analogous reduction upon inhibition of caveolar endocytosis, despite the distinction in caveolar density **[15]**. Thus far, usually according NPs which will enter cells via caveolar endocytosis embody fatty acid-core primarily based NPs, nanorods or rod-shaped NPs,albumen or albumin-coated NPs, and liposomes. The flexibility of cells to impute NPs via caveolar endocytosis is size-dependent because of the restricted size vary of caveolae from sixty to 80 nm. The dependence of caveolar endocytosis of drug nanorods on size was studied victimisation nanorods of 3 lengths, one hundred sixty, 300, and five hundred nm. The findings disclosed that a size reduction from 500 to 160 nm increased the cellular uptake because of magnified participation of caveolae-mediated endocytosis. Moreover, the power of the drug nanorods to penetrate tumors was well related with the extent to that the caveolae pathway participated in cellular uptake. Nanorod diameter ought to be not up to two hundred nm long so as to utilize the caveolae pathway.

Contact release and intermembrane transfer

Contact discharge is portrayed by the immediate flood of load to the cytoplasm without whole NP disguise through a cycle in which NPs tough situation to the phone surface, setting off layer annoyance of the cell, lastly permitting the medication freight to pass into the cell cytoplasm **[16]**. Contact discharge gives the quick arrival of stacked freight from NPs to the cytoplasm straight forwardly in an energy-autonomous example. Intermembrane move, otherwise called lipid trade, permits cytosolic conveyance of the freight without the take-up of NPs. A lipid transfer protein in the cell layer perceives lipid NPs and then, at that point initiates move of NPs' lipids to the cell or sub cellular layer, or the other way around. The trade cycle expands the penetrability of liposome and the cell layers, working with the arrival of typified freight in NPs. Both contact discharge what's more, intermembrane move empower intracellular conveyance of hydrophobic or hydrophilic freight, though the last is as often as possible answered to convey hydrophobic mixtures to cells.

Membrane Disruption

Membrane disruption, like pore formation and transmembrane insertion, could be a traditional physiological method that allows the transport of neutral molecules, lipids, and ions into cells.

Microinjection

Microinjection permits proteins, peptides, DNAs, and different giant molecule non-diffusible medicine to be directly delivered into living cells by using a nanoscale glass needle to physically penetrate the cell wall **[17]**. Since microinjection may be a physical delivery methodology, the injected substance is in theory the sole experimental variable in well-controlled experiments and may be injected at outlined times and in desired locations of living cells.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Biopharmaceuticals area unit rising as a promising space within the pharmaceutical business. With fast developments in novel drug style and commercialization, the value of biopharmaceutical medicine has swollen to just about four hundred billion US$ in 2019. A spread of biopharmaceuticals, together with functionalized peptides, recombinant proteins **[18]** enzymes **[19]** RNA-based medicine **[20]** organism antibodies, and antibody-drug conjugates area unit in numerous stages of development, ≈250 biopharmaceuticals area unit already on the market **[21]**. In recent years, increasing numbers of biopharmaceuticals are approved (for example, PD-1 mAb developed as "Nivolumab" by Bristol- Squibb Co.; internal secretion glargine created as "Toujeo" by Sanofi). Over 900 biopharmaceutical product area unit presently in development. The quickly increasing market targets each common and rare disease across a good vary of clinical areas, representing virtually 1 / 4 of the whole range of latest medicine within the pharmaceutical development pipeline. Because of poor membrane permeableness, cytosolic delivery of biopharmaceutical medicine poses a challenge. Within the past 5 years, various efforts are created to enhance the living thing delivery of biologics. The approval of over 5 products for clinical use represents a breakthrough in translation. However, the challenge still remains drug delivery ways victimisation nanocarriers provide a promising thanks to address this drawback. Two ways, endosomal escape, and direct learning area unit wide utilized to market cytosolic delivery. Endocytosis of NPs continues to be a predominant pathway but oft results in endosomal demurrer with associate in nursing passing low probability of cytosolic delivery. The nucleon sponge result could be a classical route for triggering

endosomal escape; but, materials won't to induce endosomal escape will doubtless restricted toxicity. The employment of different ways and NPs will doubtless scale back the toxicity whereas additionally demonstrating improved cytosolic delivery. As an alternative, nanogels supported biocompatible polymers will doubtless promote the delivery because of their benefits over rigid NPs, like longer blood circulation, increased permeation of biological barriers, and prolonged unharness profiles that may scale back administration times. NPs can increase patient safety whereas presenting quantifiability will still be the main target of travel biologics. Direct cytosolic delivery is Associate in nursing exciting strategy for the living thing delivery of biologics, thanks to its ability to deliver virtually 100% of the encapsulated wares to the living substance. However, safety issues still stay. Critical Process Parameters that area unit capable of direct translocation area unit extensively studied because of manageable artificial processes and potential for translation. Despite this, few area unit translated to the clinic because of safety issues caused by their charge. To facilitate translation, the longer term style of CPP moieties ought to concentrate on the reduction of toxicity through extra modifications like PEGylation. Within the case of membrane disruption, advanced styles and lack of biocompatibility considerably hamper the applications of various carriers despite reports of *in vitro* practicableness. As an alternative, charged carriers that utilize contact unharness or the caveolar pathway like HDL-mimicking carriers and macromolecule core-based NPs area unit ought to have attention because of their lack of toxicity and quantifiability **[21]**. Drug nanorods may also confer potential direct cytosolic delivery of biologics via the caveolar route, creating them associate in nursing choice for combined medical care with tiny molecular medicine. In summary, biological medicine area unit taking part in associate in nursing progressively very important role in sickness treatment however still be hindered by slow translation because of poor cytosolic delivery. The effectualness of living thing delivery of medication victimisation NPs is preponderantly ruled by the learning patterns and living thing trafficking. As a result, it's of essence to observe the *in vitro* fate of NPs throughout learning. Standard probes area unit of utilized to trace the transport of NPs in cells, however they're restricted because of inability to differentiate between NP-encapsulated probe signals from the signals generated by discharged probes. The ambiguous signals end in poor

quantification of the NPs' living thing delivery and impair the rational style of NPs. So as to market translation, it's crucial to use effective labeling ways to clarify the fate of NPs in cells. Metabolic cell-labeling and -imaging by metabolic sugar engineering of unnatural carbohydrates is also effective in evaluating the cellular pharmacological medicine of NPs because of higher biocompatibility and specificity. As an alternative, different environment-responsive light probes supported aggregation-caused ending, aggregation-induced emission, or foster resonance energy transfer **[21]** area unit a possible tool to quantify the NPs and accurately monitor their fate in cell models.

REFERENCES

[1] He W, Kapate N, Shields IV CW, Mitragotri S. Drug delivery to macrophages: A review of targeting drugs and drug carriers to macrophages for inflammatory diseases. Advanced drug delivery reviews. 2020; 165: 15-40.

[2] Liang K, Ricco R, Doherty CM, Styles MJ, Bell S, Kirby N, Mudie S, Haylock D, Hill AJ, Doonan CJ, Falcaro P. Biomimetic mineralization of metal-organic frameworks as protective coatings for biomacromolecules. Nature communications. 2015; 6(1): 1-8.

[3] Anselmo AC, Mitragotri S. Nanoparticles in the clinic. Bioengineering & translational medicine. 2016; 1(1): 10-29.

[4] Webber MJ, Appel EA, Vinciguerra B, Cortinas AB, Thapa LS, Jhunjhunwala S, Isaacs L, Langer R, Anderson DG. Supramolecular PEGylation of biopharmaceuticals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2016; 113(50): 14189-94.

[5] Verma A, Uzun O, Hu Y, Hu Y, Han HS, Watson N, Chen S, Irvine DJ, Stellacci F. Surface-structure-regulated cell-membrane penetration by monolayer-protected nanoparticles. Nature materials. 2008; 7(7): 588-95.

[6] Li Y, Maciel D, Rodrigues J, Shi X, Tomas H. Biodegradable polymer nanogels for drug/nucleic acid delivery. Chemical reviews. 2015; 115(16): 8564-608.

[7] Khormaee S, Choi Y, Shen MJ, Xu B, Wu H, Griffiths GL, Chen R, Slater NK, Park JK. Endosomolytic anionic polymer for the cytoplasmic delivery of siRNAs in localized in vivo applications. Advanced functional materials. 2013; 23(5): 565-74.

[8] Shae D, Becker KW, Christov P, Yun DS. AKR Lytton-Jean, S. Sevimli, M. Ascano, M. Kelley, DB Johnson, JM Balko, JT Wilson. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2019; 14: 269.

[9] Mashal M, Attia N, Martínez-Navarrete G, Soto-Sánchez C, Fernández E, Grijalvo S, Eritja R, Puras G, Pedraz JL. Gene delivery to the rat retina by non-viral vectors based on chloroquine-containing cationic niosomes. Journal of Controlled Release. 2019; 304: 181-90.

[10] Huang HW, Chen FY, Lee MT. Molecular mechanism of peptide-induced pores in membranes. Physical review letters. 2004; 92(19): 198304.

[11] Rehman ZU, Hoekstra D, Zuhorn IS. Mechanism of polyplex-and lipoplex-mediated delivery of nucleic acids: real-time visualization of transient membrane destabilization without endosomal lysis. ACS nano. 2013; 7(5): 3767-77.

[12] Wang M, Zuris JA, Meng F, Rees H, Sun S, Deng P, Han Y, Gao X, Pouli D, Wu Q, Georgakoudi I. Efficient delivery of genome-editing proteins using bioreducible lipid nanoparticles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2016; 113(11): 2868-73.

[13] Verwilst P, David CC, Leen V, Hofkens J, de Witte PA, De Borggraeve WM. Synthesis and in vitro evaluation of a PDT active BODIPY–NLS conjugate. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters. 2013; 23(11): 3204-7.

[14] Yuan Y, Zhang CJ, Liu B. A photoactivatable AIE polymer for light-controlled gene delivery: concurrent endo/lysosomal escape and DNA unpacking. Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 2015; 54(39): 11419-23.

[15] Partlow KC, Lanza GM, Wickline SA. Exploiting lipid raft transport with membrane targeted nanoparticles: a strategy for cytosolic drug delivery. Biomaterials. 2008; 29(23): 3367-75.

[16] Herce HD, Schumacher D, Schneider AF, Ludwig AK, Mann FA, Fillies M, Kasper MA, Reinke S, Krause E, Leonhardt H, Cardoso MC. Cell-permeable nanobodies for targeted immunolabelling and antigen manipulation in living cells. Nature chemistry. 2017; 9(8): 762-71.

[17] Tripathi NK, Shrivastava A. Recent developments in bioprocessing of recombinant proteins: expression hosts and process development. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology. 2019; 7: 420.

[18] Asadujjaman M, Cho KH, Jang DJ, Kim JE, Jee JP. Nanotechnology in the arena of cancer immunotherapy. Archives of pharmacal research. 2020; 43(1): 58-79.

[19] Chari RV, Miller ML, Widdison WC. Antibody–drug conjugates: an emerging concept in cancer therapy. Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 2014; 53(15): 3796-827.

[20] Ma X, Song Q, Gao X. Reconstituted high-density lipoproteins: novel biomimetic nanocarriers for drug delivery. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B. 2018; 8(1): 51-63.

[21] Hu X, Zhang J, Yu Z, Xie Y, He H, Qi J, Dong X, Lu Y, Zhao W, Wu W. Environment-responsive aza-BODIPY dyes quenching in water as potential probes to visualize the in vivo fate of lipid-based nanocarriers. Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine. 2015; 11(8): 1939-48.