



**SIGNIFICANCE OF POLYHYDROXYALKANOATES AMIDST COVID-19: A
COMPARATIVE REVIEW**

TYAGI P[#], SAXENA NK[#] AND SHARMA A^{*}

Molecular Genetics Research Lab, (J3-102), Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity
University Uttar Pradesh, Sector -125, Noida. U.P

[#]Equal authorship

^{*}Corresponding Author: Dr. Aarti Sharma: E Mail: asharma11@amity.edu; Ph.:

+919910764445

Received 4th Aug. 2020; Revised 20th Sept. 2020; Accepted 15th Oct. 2020; Available online 1st July 2021

<https://doi.org/10.31032/IJBPAS/2021/10.7.5639>

ABSTRACT

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are biodegradable polymers which are the need of the hour. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, we witnessed an exponential increase in the use and disposal of single use plastic. The increase can be attributed to the lockdown measures and other efforts for mitigating the viral infection. PHAs are bacterial polymers which accumulate in the bacterial cell facing stress conditions. This polymer is non-toxic, biodegradable, biocompatible, has high tensile strength and good gas barrier properties. These properties allow the application of this polymer in various different industries, thus, making this polymer industrially relevant. In this review, we summarize the need and the properties of PHA. Further, we briefly discuss and compare PHA to other biopolymers and synthetic plastics. Ultimately, we summarize the cost-effective substrates utilized for the production of PHA; promoting a circular, green economy. Thus, in this review, we outline the significance of PHA as an alternative to petrochemical-based plastics, in the current world scenario.

Keywords: Polyhydroxyalkanoates; Biodegradable; Synthetic plastics; Bioplastics; PHA

INTRODUCTION

In the year 2020, we witnessed the worldwide spread of infections caused due to the novel SARS-CoV-2. The immediate

method of mitigating the spread of the virus involved the utilization of single-use personal protective equipment (PPE)

including gloves, surgical face masks, face shields, protective eye glasses, coveralls and respirators. Further, a surge in the demand for hand sanitizers, cleaning agents and packaging material was also observed [1]. To meet this demand, the exponential increase in the manufacturing, use and disposal of these plastic-based products is adding significantly to the existing environmental stress.

Eco-friendly biopolymers are the need of the hour to support sustainability for the decades to come as an alternative to petrochemical-based plastics. Efficient technologies are being developed that utilize renewable resources for the production of these bioplastics while conserving natural ecosystems [2]

Bioplastics are biobased, biodegradable (or both) materials which have found applications in industries like packaging, electronics, automotive and agriculture etc. as an alternative to non-degradable plastics [3]. Bioplastics which are biobased and biodegradable are gaining more interest as they can be derived from renewable resources and also can decompose naturally in the environment or can be turned into compost [4]. Bioplastics like Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), Polylactic acid (PLA), Thermoplastic starch (TPS) and Regenerated cellulose fall under this category.

In this review we compare the different types of bioplastics and compare the properties of PHA to different synthetic plastics. We highlight the properties and importance of PHA and the cost-effective substrates commonly used for PHA production.

PHA VS OTHER BIOPOLYMER

The annual world plastic demand currently stands at more than 360 million tons, this demand has increased in the past five years from 300 million tons. Out of the 360 million tons plastic produced, only 9% accounts for reusable plastics. (<https://www.theweek.in/news/sci-tech/2020/09/21/Global-plastic-production-up-by-60-MMT-in-5-years-Researcher.html#:~:text=Speaking%20on%20Microplastics%3A%20An%20emerging,to%20360%20million%20metric%20tonnes.%22>). Despite the growing

environmental concerns and hazards of the discarded single-use plastics, bioplastics form less than 1% of the total plastic produced [5]. The most popular bioplastics in the market are made from starch (from corn) and cellulose (from sugarcane).

PLA is a common bioplastic made from polylactides and is being used to make oxo biodegradable plastic bags. PLA comes from a family of aliphatic polyesters which are synthesized as a result of a multistep process. It initiates by the production of lactic acid followed by the formation of

lactides leading to its polymerization; resulting in PLA. Lactic acid is produced by bacterial fermentation of carbohydrates, PLA is then produced by chemical condensation [6]. The Global PLA market currently stands at 535.6 million dollars and is expected to annually rise by 15.9% upto 2027. Though the Covid-19 pandemic has led to a decline in the direct demand for PLA but it is forecasted that PLA demand could increase in the textile industry for use in PPEs used to tackle Covid 19. (<https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/polylactic-acid-pla-market>)

The major advantages of the PLA plastics can be attributed to its biodegradability, thermoplastic nature, rigidity and stability [7].

Similarly, botanical starch obtained from plants such as pea, potato, maize, barley, wheat and rice can be used to make TPS. It is developed by thermomechanical processing of granular starch, the processing can be done by processes like kneading, extrusion, injection moulding, compression moulding and blow moulding. Additionally, TPS can also be obtained by adding water soluble plasticizers to aqueous dispersions containing starch [8]. TPS find various applications as bioplastics because it is renewable in nature, biodegradable and can be used as fertilizer upon degradation. Further, it is cheap, abundant in nature and can be easily

processed by standard equipment due to its flexible nature [9]. Yet, TPS is not a suitable replacement for petrochemical based plastics due to its hydrophilicity, poor mechanical properties. Above the threshold Tg value, the bioplastic loses its mechanical properties and swells irreversibly. Thus, TPS needs to be blended with other materials to obtain a bioplastic suitable for various commercial applications [10].

Cellulose is a common naturally derived polymer found in various plants. It is renewable, easily available, biodegradable, low cost and non-toxic. Cellulose can be regenerated to improve its tensile strength by treating it with solvents. The regenerated cellulose overcomes the drawbacks of natural cellulose i.e. strong hydrogen bonds between molecules within cellulose in turn makes it difficult to dissolve and process for industrial applications [11]. On the contrary, the processing itself requires high mechanical energy, high operational costs, expensive chemicals and pressurized reactors [12].

PLA, TPS and Cellulose-based bioplastics are competitively priced and have similar properties to petrochemical-based plastics. Yet, they may not be a sustainable alternative to the non-degrading plastics. If feedstock like corn and sugarcane are utilized for bioplastic production, it

competes with the raw material as food for humans and other animals [13]. Additionally, since harvestable land and raw feedstock are limited in nature, it is not feasible to repurpose these materials to produce bioplastics which are only compostable and not biodegradable. Further as the demand for the crop-based bioplastics increases, it leads to an increase in the harvest of the crops and ultimately adding to the increased Greenhouse Gas emissions [14].

Thus, the attention is now on PHA, these bioderived, natural polymers are biodegradable in nature and don't use renewable feedstock as raw material. Bacterial systems are most commonly used for industrial production of PHA. These systems are renewable in nature, do not compete with any feedstock and also use waste material as substrates [15]. Further, PHA is synthesized by microbial fermentation while the other biopolymers require chemical synthesis routes.

PHAs are bioderived, natural polymers which are biodegradable in nature and their properties are comparable to that of fossil-fuel based plastics. This polymer accumulates in the bacterial cell as an energy reserve for the bacteria when facing nutrient limiting conditions with excess of carbon. The polymer is non-toxic, biocompatible, biodegradable and has properties similar to that of petrochemical

based plastic [16]. More than 150 monomers of PHA have been identified with properties which range from thermoplastics to elastomers. Thus, the differing monomers are organized as homopolymers or heteropolymers based on the substrate provided to the bacteria [17]. These PHA polymers find application in various industries based on their properties: pharmaceutical and therapeutic applications [17], packaging applications [18], Medical applications [19], drug delivery and tissue engineering [20] etc.

PHA VS SYNTHETIC PLASTICS

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) employed in Covid-19 pandemic, is made up of different synthetic plastics like polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene (PE). Further, the lockdown lead to an increase usage of packaging material for food and essential deliveries; adding to the plastic waste generation [21]. Common synthetic plastics which are used as packaging material or as single use plastics during the pandemic are:

- Polyethylene (PE) - used to make packaging films, trash bags, grocery bags and other commonly used plastic products.
- Polypropylene (PP) – used to make PPE kits including goggles, shoe covers, face masks, gloves, coveralls, head covers and face

shields. Further, COVID-19 test kits are predominantly made of PP along with other medical devices like injections etc. It is also used to make rigid and flexible packaging material.

- Polystyrene (PS) - used to make hospital ventilators, food and packaging materials.

To circumvent the severe problems caused by the disposal of these plastics, different kinds of PHAs are being used as appropriate substitutes for PS, PP and PE [22]. **Table 1** depicts the properties of different polymers and demonstrates that PHA is a polymer of biological origin which is biodegradable and has a vast range of properties which can align with the properties of petrochemical - based plastics and further, can be altered based on the required application. The properties of the polymer vary with its different monomer composition. The composition is dependent on two main variables – the bacteria used and the carbon provided to the bacteria in the growth medium.

PHA PRODUCTION USING COST-EFFECTIVE SUBSTRATES

The carbon source is an important factor for maintaining polymer composition and to control the cost of production. It is estimated an approximate 50% of the cost can be attributed to the raw materials used

in the production process. In an effort to make PHA commercially viable and a suitable alternative for synthetic plastics, various studies are being carried out to reduce the cost of PHA production. Thus, researchers have utilized different cost-effective substrates as an alternative to glucose and other carbon sources (**Table 1**). Different bacteria capable of metabolizing the different complex substrates are employed in these studies. For instance, Kovalcik *et al.* demonstrate the utilization of grape winery waste as a substrate for PHA production. They observed a change in the average molecular weight in the polymer with the change in the type and amount of carbon. PHA accumulation of 76.8% was observed when using grape oil as a substrate, Further, PHA with high molecular weight was obtained when using grape sugar extract as a substrate. Different bacterial strains were employed for each waste resulting in polymers with different properties [23]. Alternatively, Aljuraifani *et al.* demonstrate how the same bacterial strain can be used to metabolise three different wastes i.e. rice bran, soy molasses and date molasses. Varying PHA accumulation was observed in the *Pseudomonas sp.* when it was grown in different substrates [24]. Halophilic bacteria have also been employed to metabolize substrates like pomegranate peels (*Bacillus halotolerans*) [25], waste

frying oil (*Halomonas hydrothermalis*) [26], grape sugar extract (*Halomonas. Halophila*) [23] etc. Table 2 summarizes recent research being carried out PHA production using cost effective substrates. Thus, the

usage of these cost-effective substrates not only reduces the waste disposal of these substrates but also promotes a circular, green economy.

Table 1: Comparison of properties: Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) against polystyrene (PS), polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene (PE)

	Biodegradable	Compostable	Biocompatible	Recyclable	Polymerisation	MW X10 ⁴	T _m °C	Mechanical properties		
								YM (Mpa)	EB (%)	TS (Mpa)
PHA	Yes	Yes	Yes	N.A.	Biological	30-100	60-170	10-1000	1-1000	1-45
PS	No	No	Yes	No	Chemical	10-40	270	3000-3600	3-4	46-60
PP	No	No	Yes	Yes	Chemical	-	130-170	1300-1800	100-300	25-40
PE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Chemical	-	115-135	800	500	22-31

MW- Molecular weight x (10⁴); T_m – Melting temperature; YM – Young's Modulus; EB- Elongation break; TS- Tensile strength

Table 2: Summarising cost effective substrates used for the production of PHA along with the microorganisms used and PHA yield

Substrate used	Microorganisms used	PHA type	PHA yield	Reference
Molasses	<i>Cupriavidus necator</i>	PHB	64.32%	[27]
Cheese whey		PHB	64.29%	
Corn husk	<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	PHB	57.80%	[28]
Glycerol	<i>Zobellella denitrificans</i>	PHB	85%	[29]
Cane molasses	<i>Alcaligenes sp</i>	PHB	79.26%	[30]
Waste frying oil	<i>Halomonas hydrothermalis</i>	PHB	61.98%	[26]
Pomegranate peels	<i>Bacillus halotolerans</i>	PHA	83%	[25]
Tuna condensate waste	<i>Cupriavidus necator</i>	PHB-HV	50.7%	[31]
Date molasses	<i>Pseudomonas sp</i>	PHA	82.6%	[24]
Rice bran		PHA	90.9%	
Soy molasses		PHA	91.6%	
Cashew apple juice	<i>Cupriavidus necator</i>	PHB-HV	15.78 g/L	[32]
Grape oil	<i>Cupriavidus necator</i>	PHB	76.8 %	[23]
Grape sugar extract	<i>Halomonas. halophila</i>	PHB	57.0%	

CONCLUSION

In a world that requires drastic decline in the use and discard of non-biodegradable plastics and sustainable use of resources, PHAs can be considered as plastics the way nature intended plastics to be. PHAs are bioderived, natural polymers which are biodegradable in nature and their properties are comparable to that of fossil-fuel based plastics. The properties of this polymer are

similar to that of synthetic plastics and thus, can be used as a green alternative to the non-degradable plastic. Thus, the manufacturing and application of PHAs need to be increased exponentially in order to meet with the plastic demands; already overburdened by the Covid-19 pandemic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge Amity University Uttar Pradesh for the

infrastructural support provided. The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. J. Rowan and J. G. Laffey, “Challenges and solutions for addressing critical shortage of supply chain for personal and protective equipment (PPE) arising from Coronavirus disease (COVID19) pandemic – Case study from the Republic of Ireland,” *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 725, p. 138532, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138532.
- [2] J. Chee, S. Yoga, N. Lau, S. Ling, and R. M. M. Abed, “Bacterially Produced Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Converting Renewable Resources into Bioplastics,” no. January, pp. 1395–1404, 2010.
- [3] M. Rujnić-Sokele and A. Pilipović, “Challenges and opportunities of biodegradable plastics: A mini review,” *Waste management & research: the journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 132–140, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0734242X16683272.
- [4] J. A. Colwill, E. I. Wright, S. Rahimifard, and A. J. Clegg, “Bio-plastics in the context of competing demands on agricultural land in 2050,” *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 3–16, 2012, doi: 10.1080/19397038.2011.602439.
- [5] T. Narancic, F. Cerrone, N. Beagan, and K. E. O’Connor, “Recent advances in bioplastics: Application and biodegradation,” *Polymers*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2020, doi: 10.3390/POLYM12040920.
- [6] D. Garlotta, “A literature review of poly(lactic acid),” *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 63–84, 2001, doi: 10.1023/A:1020200822435.
- [7] M. Selvamurugan Muthusamy and S. Pramasivam, “Bioplastics – An Eco-friendly Alternative to Petrochemical Plastics,” *Current World Environment*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 49–59, 2019, doi: 10.12944/cwe.14.1.07.
- [8] Y. Zhang, C. Rempel, and Q. Liu, “Thermoplastic Starch Processing and Characteristics-A Review,” *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 1353–1370, 2014, doi: 10.1080/10408398.2011.636156.
- [9] A. Mohammadi Nafchi, M. Moradpour, M. Saeidi, and A. K. Alias, “Thermoplastic starches:

- Properties, challenges, and prospects,” *Starch - Stärke*, vol. 65, no. 1–2, pp. 61–72, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.1002/star.201200201.
- [10] S. Pompei, J. Tirillò, F. Sarasini, and C. Santulli, “Development of thermoplastic starch (TPS) including leather waste fragments,” *Polymers*, vol. 12, no. 8, 2020, doi: 10.3390/polym12081811.
- [11] H. Tu, M. Zhu, B. Duan, and L. Zhang, “Recent Progress in High-Strength and Robust Regenerated Cellulose Materials,” *Advanced Materials*, p. 2000682, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1002/adma.202000682.
- [12] M. Brodin, M. Vallejos, M. T. Opedal, M. C. Area, and G. Chinga-Carrasco, “Lignocellulosics as sustainable resources for production of bioplastics – A review,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 162. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 646–664, Sep. 20, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.209.
- [13] K. Dietrich, M. J. Dumont, L. F. del Rio, and V. Orsat, “Producing PHAs in the bioeconomy — Towards a sustainable bioplastic,” *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, vol. 9, no. September, pp. 58–70, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2016.09.001.
- [14] N. Escobar, S. Haddad, J. Börner, and W. Britz, “Land use mediated GHG emissions and spillovers from increased consumption of bioplastics,” *Environmental Research Letters*, vol. 13, no. 12. Institute of Physics Publishing, p. 125005, Dec. 01, 2018, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aaefb.
- [15] M. Winnacker, “Polyhydroxyalkanoates: Recent Advances in Their Synthesis and Applications,” *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, vol. 121, no. 11, p. 1900101, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1002/ejlt.201900101.
- [16] C. Kourmentza *et al.*, “Recent Advances and Challenges towards Sustainable Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) Production,” *Bioengineering (Basel, Switzerland)*, vol. 4, no. 2, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.3390/bioengineering4020055.
- [17] M. Koller, “Biodegradable and biocompatible polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA): Auspicious microbial macromolecules for pharmaceutical and therapeutic applications,” *Molecules*, vol. 23, no. 2. MDPI AG, 2018, doi: 10.3390/molecules23020362.

- [18] G. Keskin, G. Klzll, M. Bechelany, C. Pochat-Bohatier, and M. Öner, “Potential of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) polymers family as substitutes of petroleum based polymers for packaging applications and solutions brought by their composites to form barrier materials,” in *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, Nov. 2017, vol. 89, no. 12, pp. 1841–1848, doi: 10.1515/pac-2017-0401.
- [19] I. Ali and N. Jamil, “Polyhydroxyalkanoates: Current applications in the medical field,” *Frontiers in Biology*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 19–27, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11515-016-1389-z.
- [20] E. Elmowafy, A. Abdal-Hay, A. Skouras, M. Tiboni, L. Casettari, and V. Guarino, “Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Applications in drug delivery and tissue engineering,” *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, vol. 16, no. 6. Taylor and Francis Ltd, pp. 467–482, 2019, doi: 10.1080/17434440.2019.1615439.
- [21] J. J. Klemeš, Y. van Fan, R. R. Tan, and P. Jiang, “Minimising the present and future plastic waste, energy and environmental footprints related to COVID-19,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 127, p. 109883, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.109883.
- [22] F. Muneer, I. Rasul, F. Azeem, M. H. Siddique, M. Zubair, and H. Nadeem, “Microbial Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs): Efficient Replacement of Synthetic Polymers,” *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2301–2323, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10924-020-01772-1.
- [23] A. Kovalcik *et al.*, “Grape winery waste as a promising feedstock for the production of polyhydroxyalkanoates and other value-added products,” *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, vol. 124, pp. 1–10, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2020.08.003.
- [24] A. A. Aljuraifani, M. M. Berekaa, and A. A. Ghazwani, “Bacterial biopolymer (polyhydroxy-alkanoate) production from low-cost sustainable sources,” *Microbiology Open*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1–7, 2019, doi: 10.1002/mbo3.755.
- [25] V. Rayasam, P. Chavan, and T. Kumar, “Polyhydroxyalkanoate synthesis by bacteria isolated from landfill and ETP with pomegranate peels as carbon source,” *Archives of Microbiology*, pp. 1–10, Aug.

- 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00203-020-01995-9.
- [26] I. Pernicova *et al.*, “Production of polyhydroxyalkanoates on waste frying oil employing selected *Halomonas* strains,” *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 292, no. July, p. 122028, 2019.
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122028.
- [27] K. Nehra, P. Lathwal, S. Gupta, P. K. Sidhu, and J. S. Rana, “Agro-industrial byproducts as alternate cost-effective medium components for production of polyhydroxybutyrate,” *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 58, no. September, pp. 631–642, 2020.
- [28] L. de Souza, Y. Manasa, and S. Shivakumar, “Bioconversion of lignocellulosic substrates for the production of polyhydroxyalkanoates,” *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, vol. 28, p. 101754, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101754.
- [29] F. Asiri, C. H. Chen, M. Hwangbo, Y. Shao, and K. H. Chu, “From Organic Wastes to Bioplastics: Feasibility of Nonsterile Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) Production by *Zobellella denitrificans* ZD1,” *ACS Omega*, vol. 5, no. 38, pp. 24158–24168, 2020.
doi: 10.1021/acsomega.9b04002.
- [30] A. D. Tripathi, T. Raj Joshi, S. Kumar Srivastava, K. K. Darani, S. Khade, and J. Srivastava, “Effect of nutritional supplements on bio-plastics (PHB) production utilizing sugar refinery waste with potential application in food packaging,” *Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 567–577, 2019, doi: 10.1080/10826068.2019.1591982.
- [31] K. Sangkharak, N. Paichid, T. Yunu, S. Klomklao, and P. Prasertsan, “Utilisation of tuna condensate waste from the canning industry as a novel substrate for polyhydroxyalkanoate production,” *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s13399-019-00581-4.
- [32] A. Arumugam, T. S. Anudakshaini, R. Shruthi, K. Jeyavishnu, S. Sundarra Harini, and J. S. Sharad, “Low-cost production of PHA using cashew apple (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) juice as potential substrate: optimization and characterization,” *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s13399-019-00502-5.